UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

Fabian Navarro,	Case No.
Plaintiff,	
v.	
The Traf Group, Inc. dba Credit America c/o Corporate Creations Network 350 S. Northwest Highway, Suite 300 Park Ridge, IL 60068,	COMPLAINT

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Jury Demand Requested

- 1- This court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d).
- 2- Venue is proper because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim occurred in this District.

PARTIES

3- Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Illinois.

Defendant.

- 4- Plaintiff incurred an obligation to pay money, the primary purpose of which was for personal, family, or household uses (the õDebtö).
- 5- Defendant is a corporation with its principal place of business in the State of New Jersey.
- 6- Defendant uses instruments of interstate commerce for its principal purpose of business, which it the collection of debts.
- 7- Defendant regularly attempts to collects, or attempts to collect, debts owed or due another.
- 8- At all times relevant, Defendant owned the Debt or was retained to collect the Debt.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 9- On or around September 3, 2014, Plaintiff filed a voluntary bankruptcy petition that included the Debt.
- 10-Despite the bankruptcy, on or around October 22, 2014, Defendant mailed a letter to Plaintiff to collect the Debt.
- 11- At the time of this communication, Defendant knew, or should have known, about Plaintiff

 bankruptcy filing.
- 12-Defendant damaged Plaintiff.
- 13- Defendant violated the FDCPA.

COUNT I

- 14-Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 15-Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692e(2) by sending Plaintiff a collection letter that sought to collect a debt that Defendant knew, or should have known, was included in Plaintifføs bankruptcy, thereby misrepresenting the legal status of the debt

COUNT II

- 16-Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 17-Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692e(10) by falsely representing to Plaintiff that it could collect a debt that Defendant knew, or should have known, was included in Plaintifføs bankruptcy.

COUNT III

- 18-Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 19-Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692f by unfairly and unconscionably trying to collect a debt that Defendant knew, or should have known, was included in Plaintiff¢s bankruptcy.

COUNT IV

- 20-Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 21-Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692c(a)(2) by communicating with a consumer after Defendant knew, or should have known, that Plaintiff was represented by an attorney regarding the debt.

JURY DEMAND

22- Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 23-Plaintiff prays for the following relief:
 - a. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff

 sactual damages, as determined at trial, suffered as a direct and proximate result Defendant

 violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, pursuant to 15 U.S.C.

 §1692k(a)(1);

 - d. Any other legal and/or equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Meier LLC

By: /s/ Richard J. Meier Richard J. Meier, Esq. 53 W. Jackson Blvd, Suite 304 Chicago, IL 60604

Tel: 312-242-1849 Fax: 312-242-1841 richard@meierllc.com

Attorney for Plaintiff